```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
 2
                           GREENBELT DIVISION
 3
 4
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 5
         Plaintiff,
                                         ) Docket Number
                                          )8:21-cr-00101-DKC-1
 6
                vs.
 7
    MADANI ILARA TEJAN,
 8
         Defendant.
 9
                    TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING
10
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE DEBORAH K. CHASANOW
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11
                 Tuesday, March 5, 2024, AT 10:00 A.M.
12
    APPEARANCES:
13
    On Behalf of the Plaintiff:
14
         BY: GERALD COLLINS, ESQUIRE
              KELLY HAYES, ESQUIRE
15
         OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
         6406 Ivy Lane, Suite 800
16
         Greenbelt, MD
                         20770
         (202)514-3601
17
    On Behalf of the Defendant:
18
         BY: CHRISTOPHER DAVIS, ESQUIRE
19
              MARY DAVIS, ESOUIRE
         DAVIS & DAVIS
20
         1350 Connecticut Avenue, NW
         Suite 202
21
         Washington, DC 20036
         (202)234-7300
22
23
    ALSO PRESENT:
                  Kevin McDonald, FBI
                   Allyson Hamlin, PG Police Department
24
                   Ed Encarnacion, Probation Office
25
         ***COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOTYPED NOTES***
```

```
PROCEEDINGS
 1
 2
         (Court called to order.)
              DEPUTY CLERK: The United States District Court for
 3
    the District of Maryland is now in session. The Honorable
 4
 5
    Deborah K. Chasanow presiding.
              THE COURT: Good morning.
 6
 7
         (ALL COUNSEL: Good morning, Your Honor.)
              THE COURT: Please be seated.
 8
 9
         Mr. Collins, call the case.
              MR. COLLINS: Your Honor, United States of
10
   America v. Madani Ilari Tejan, DKC-21-101.
11
         Gerald Collins and Kelly Hayes on behalf of the United
12
    States government. Your Honor, we're joined at counsel table
13
14
    by FBI Special Agent Kevin McDonald, and Prince George's County
15
    Detective Allyson Hamlin.
16
         Good morning, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Good to see all of you.
17
         Mr. Davis? Ms. Davis?
18
19
              MR. DAVIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Christopher
20
    Davis and Mary Davis on behalf of Madani Ilari Tejan.
21
              THE COURT: Who is, of course, also present. Good
22
    morning to all of you.
23
         Okay. And Mr. Encarnacion is here on behalf of Probation.
24
              PROBATION OFFICER: Good morning, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. We are now here for a
25
```

```
1
    sentencing hearing. We had a hearing last week at which I
 2
    resolved some of the guideline issues and some other matters
    that needed to be addressed.
 3
         I quess the only thing I've received in writing since that
 4
    hearing is a letter from the government concerning withdrawing
 5
 6
    a partial request for restitution.
 7
         Is that everything I should have received in writing in
    addition from what we talked about last time?
 8
 9
              MR. COLLINS: That is correct, Your Honor.
              MR. DAVIS: That's correct, Your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: Okay.
11
                                 I had ascertained at that time
12
    that you had reviewed the presentence report with Mr. Tejan,
13
    and I resolved some of the issues, but I think we left a few
14
    for discussion today; is that -- is that right? Mr. Davis?
15
              MR. DAVIS: I believe there was an -- the issue of
16
    restitution, Your Honor, left open.
              THE COURT: Well, yes, that, in part.
17
         Mr. Collins, have you looked again at the government's
18
19
    statement of facts that the Probation Office adopted?
20
              MR. COLLINS: Yes, Your Honor. And we've provided
21
    Probation with an updated set of facts, Your Honor, based on
22
    the Court's concerns with what was in the earlier draft of the
23
    presentence report.
24
              THE COURT: Have you shown it to the defense?
              MR. COLLINS: Yes, Your Honor, everyone was on that
25
```

```
1
    correspondence when we sent it. Actually, we actually
 2
    communicated in advance to make sure that we agreed on the
    statement, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: But I haven't seen it. All right.
 4
 5
    Nobody sent it to me.
         Mr. Davis, were you satisfied, if not happy, with the
 6
 7
    changes?
              MR. DAVIS: We have no objection to it, Your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. May I see it?
 9
10
         Thank you. All right. I do see it takes care of the
11
    direct issues that I had had trouble with.
12
         I would also suggest, Mr. Encarnacion, that the
13
    highlighted, bolded lead-in before Paragraph 15 needs to be
14
    changed.
15
              PROBATION OFFICER: Shall I eliminate that entirely,
    Your Honor?
16
              THE COURT: Well, that would help. I mean, that will
17
    take care of it. It implies -- it says eyewitness, and there
18
19
    is no eyewitness.
20
         Okay. So we're going to take out that entire subheading.
21
         Paragraph 15, as I indicated before, said "law enforcement
22
    learned that another individual, Witness 2, was present at the
23
    time of," and I think that needs to be changed to "was close
24
    by" at the time of, not "present."
25
         All right. So I'll direct that.
```

What you've given to me is a substitute Paragraph 16, which I agree with.

Okay. Okay. Mr. Davis, I've looked again at the objections that appear at Pages 28 and 29. It appears we took care of or they had been agreed in advance with all except the eighth one, where you objected to the listing of prior offenses that did not result in convictions, and you would argue that all of them were picked up by the federal government, and that the paragraph should be deleted.

Well, I don't need that they need to be deleted. I think the presentence report clearly reflects that they were picked up and they are the same charges. So I don't see the need to adjust that.

So I do believe now we have addressed all of the objections that were stated to the Probation Office.

Perhaps, Mr. Collins, you can now clarify what restitution is being sought and see if we understand where we are.

MR. COLLINS: The Court's brief indulgence, Your Honor.

Your Honor, the government is only requesting restitution as it relates to the expenses associated with Ms. Gladney. And those are expenses that we discussed at length at the last hearing.

In particular, based on the Court's recalculation of expenses related to the memorial event, we believe that the new

```
calculated figure will be $1,250.
 1
 2
         Court's brief indulgence.
         That there would be also $150 that were attributable to
 3
    damaged clothing.
 4
 5
         And then, Your Honor, there was -- the original expense
    that we had talked about was $3,950, those were all
 6
 7
    attributable to Ms. Gladney. However, Ms. Gladney did receive
    $5,000 for funeral-related expenses that would -- that came
 8
 9
    from the Maryland Criminal Injuries Compensation Board. Her
    family was reimbursed for that amount of money.
10
11
         And, Your Honor, it's my -- based on my math, Your Honor,
12
    based on what should be left over, should be $350, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
              MR. COLLINS:
                            Okay?
15
              THE COURT: That's what my calculations would show as
16
    well.
17
         Mr. Davis, does that clarify it?
              MR. DAVIS: That does, Your Honor.
18
19
              THE COURT: All right. Okay. Is anyone to speak
20
    other than counsel and Mr. Tejan today?
21
              MR. COLLINS: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, the
22
    government has a list of family members associated with
23
    Mr. Freeland, who will be speaking.
24
         Number one will be Mr. Seawell Freeland, who is his
25
    father; Ms. Candice Corbin, who we discussed at the last
```

```
1
    hearing; and then his mother, Ms. Annette Gladney. Ms. Gladney
 2
   has prepared a statement, Your Honor, that was submitted.
 3
   Ms. Gladney has asked that if she cannot get through the
    statement, if one of her family members could read the
 4
 5
    statement for her. And she has identified that person as
    Keeisha.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. I should have noted for the
    record, I told you before I had received the victim impact
 8
 9
    statements in writing. I had not read them as of the time of
10
    the last hearing. I have now read the statement presented by
11
    the family of Mr. Freeland, but not the others.
12
         Okay. Shall we begin with those family members before we
13
    turn to counsel?
14
              MR. COLLINS: Yes, Your Honor. So we would call
15
   Mr. Seawell Freeland to the stand, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. He doesn't need to take the stand.
17
    He can come right up here in the middle where there's a
18
    microphone.
19
              MR. COLLINS: Sure.
20
              THE COURT: Good morning.
21
              SEAWELL FREELAND: Good morning.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. I would like you please to start
23
    by giving us your name, and then spelling your full name for
24
    the court reporter.
```

25 **SEAWELL FREELAND:** Seawell, S-E-A-W-E-L-L, Freeland,

```
F-R-E-E-L-A-N-D.
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
                        Okav. Thank you.
         What do you want me to know?
 3
              SEAWELL FREELAND: Well, I would just -- there's a
 4
 5
    lot, because it was, like, that was taking my son from me. And
    it's hard, you know, to deal with. And it's, like, taking the
 6
 7
    kids' father, and it's just hard to deal with.
              THE COURT: Okav.
 8
                                 Thank you.
              SEAWELL FREELAND:
 9
                                 Thank you.
              MR. COLLINS: Your Honor, Ms. Corbin would be next.
10
              THE COURT: Uh-huh. Good morning.
11
              CANDICE CORBIN: Good morning, Your Honor.
12
13
              THE COURT: Again, would you please state your name
14
    and spell it for our court reporter.
15
              CANDICE CORBIN: Yes. My name is Candice Corbin,
16
    it's spelled C-A-N-D-I-C-E, C-O-R-B-I-N.
              THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
17
              CANDICE CORBIN: Okay. I first would like to thank
18
19
    you, Your Honor, for allowing me the opportunity to offer my
20
    victim impact statement. It means a great deal to be able to
21
    get up here and speak to you on how this has affected me.
22
         You know, I -- I often wonder whether the defendant
23
    understands that once Anthony took his last breath, there was
24
    no -- there was nothing else he could do to him. You know,
25
    there was no more pain that he could cause him.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
But it's the family, it's us, it's the -- it's those of us
who love Anthony that are left behind to -- that are left
behind to rebuild after being broken under a mountain of grief.
     I'm sorry.
     Okay. Anthony has five children, four of whom are boys.
The youngest being four, and the oldest being 13, and we're
already seeing the trickling and crippling effects of not
having their fathers around. Not just having their fathers
around, but having their fathers taken from them in such a
tragic way and so sudden.
     If I had to ask you of anything, it would be to impose a
maximum sentence available for them, because, you know, they
are going to proceed us. They have to continue and figure out
how not to let this tragedy shape their lives and the
generations that are going to come after them.
     So if -- again, if for anybody else, please, for the
children, because I don't think the defendant can list anything
that they have done to him.
     And that will be all.
          THE COURT: Thank you.
          MR. COLLINS:
                       Your Honor, Ms. Annette Gladney.
          CANDITA BRANDON: Good morning, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Gladney. If you would,
again, state and spell your name for the court reporter.
          CANDITA BRANDON: So I'm Candita Brandon. I'm going
```

```
to read on behalf --
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
                          Oh, okav.
              CANDITA BRANDON: -- of Ms. Gladney.
 3
         C-A-N-D-I-T-A; last name, Brandon, B-R-A-N-D-O-N.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. And you're reading the statement
 6
    of Annette Gladney?
 7
              CANDITA BRANDON: Yes.
                                      My name is Annette Gladney,
    and I lost my son, Anthony Freeland, when he was murdered by
 8
 9
    Madani Tejan. On October 3rd, 2018, Anthony was murdered by
    the defendant, Tejan; someone he mistakenly thought was his
10
11
    friend.
         The defendant, Tejan, took a part of my life that I cannot
12
13
    get God to replace. Since that day, I have felt a pain that I
14
    cannot describe. I live and walk on eggshells, and I feel like
15
    I failed Anthony and my other children. To this day, I cannot
16
    look them in their faces because I feel like I have failed to
17
    protect them.
         As I painfully heard at the trial, the defendant, Tejan's,
18
19
    actions were preplanned. And because of his plan, me and my
20
    children, and Anthony's children, have all been left in a state
21
    of mental depression.
22
         Every time I see Anthony's children, I have to hold back
23
    tears so they don't see me crying. I have panic attacks and
24
    anxiety and sometimes I feel like I can't breathe.
25
         I have nightmares, and I hate when the phone rings at
```

```
1
            I fear that it will be another nighttime caller
 2
    informing me that another one of my family members has been
    killed.
 3
         I still wake up crying and cannot go to work some days.
 4
    I'm scared and afraid for no reason, and I can no longer trust
 5
    friends as I did in the past.
 6
 7
         The loss of Anthony is beyond words.
         Anthony was the glue -- Anthony was the glue that held the
 8
 9
    family together. He was very smart. He was caring and funny.
10
    He was clever and compassionate. He also was intelligent and
11
    gave good advice.
         He brought us all together and he loved family time.
12
         At the time of his murder, he had four children and was in
13
14
    the midst of planning his wedding. I think about Anthony all
    the time. It is very painful to contemplate a future without
15
16
    him.
17
         Anthony had a baby shortly after his murder, a baby he did
    not know about and never got to see or hold. He would have
18
19
    been thrilled to know that he was having a baby with his
20
    fiancee, Candice. He loved her so much, and he couldn't wait
21
    to make her his wife.
22
         Now Anthony has five children that he will never be able
23
    to raise, to hold or to hug. He can't spend time with them,
24
    talk to them, play with them. He can't even enjoy watching
25
    them grow up.
```

1 I'm trying my best to see that anything these kids need, 2 that I can help, because their dad is gone. I have been 3 stressed and depressed since October 3rd, 2018, trying to keep my head above water and make ends meet. I am financially 4 5 struggling and trying to get my life back on track after paying \$2,946 to the mortuary, and \$3,950 to the funeral home, and 6 7 then another \$4,850 for other funeral related expenses that would -- that I would not otherwise have incurred, including 8 Anthony's burial clothing, renting the building for the funeral 9 10 service and repass, and paying for the repass at the gathering 11 in his honor for his last time. I'm so hurt, I am sad, and I am missing my child. 12 13 didn't deserve this and neither did Anthony. 14 Anthony came from a giving family, and whatever his friend, the defendant, Tejan needed, we, as a family, probably 15 16 could have helped him too. We love hard, we take care of 17 others, and we do whatever is necessary to be done. Now I can't help myself. I am financially struggling, my 18 19 statements are wiped out, and at this time, I don't feel able

to do anything for anyone.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Recently, I went to the government to apply for food stamps, needing help myself because I am no longer -- I no longer have a savings account and I am still behind on paying my bills.

I think about my son Anthony every day, and I just want to

```
1
    tell Tejan, you didn't have to do that; you didn't have to kill
 2
   my son, you just didn't have to do that.
              THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Brandon. And thank you,
 3
   Ms. Gladney.
 4
 5
         Okay. Anyone else? Nobody, Mr. Davis?
                          We have no one we're calling, Your Honor.
 6
              MR. DAVIS:
 7
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. All right. Mr. Collins?
              MR. COLLINS:
 8
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
         Your Honor, the government is aware that the Court has to
    consider the 3553(a) factors when determining an appropriate
10
11
    sentence in this case. And the government believes that when
    considering those factors in conjunction with all the evidence
12
13
    and the statements that even the Court has heard today, that
14
    the appropriate sentence in this case is the top of the
15
    guidelines as it relates to Counts One, Two, and Three.
16
         And the maximum on -- well, actually the maximum on Three
    and Four, which we believe would be appropriate in this case,
17
18
    Your Honor.
19
         Based on the crimes alleged that the defendant has now
20
    been convicted of, the government believes that these types of
21
    sentences, Your Honor, would be sufficient but not greater than
22
    necessary to achieve the goals of sentencing.
23
         And, Your Honor, as we have already outlined in our
24
    sentencing memorandum, and I don't want to overspeak, but I do
25
    think it's important, Your Honor, just to highlight some of the
```

1 considerations that the government has factored into making 2 this recommendation, Your Honor, in conjunction with the 3 3553(a) factors.

As the Court is aware, this was a very, very serious case, just starting with the drug trafficking.

I think -- I think the government would acknowledge, Your Honor, that the defendant is not considered a major drug trafficker in the sense that the government normally would deal with, someone who is involved in a large, widespread enterprise.

However, the government would let the Court know that the drug trafficking that this defendant was involved in was very, very serious. It was very serious, Your Honor, based on primarily the nature of drugs that the defendant was selling.

As the Court is aware, several of the pills that the defendant had in his possession that he was selling, including the over 1,013 pills that were recovered from him, were pills that were laced with fentanyl. And we know that fentanyl has had a very destructive effect not only throughout this region, but throughout the entire United States, Your Honor.

And based on the amount of pills that we know that the defendant had in that one instance, and based on the communications that we knew that he was having with others as it related to the sale of prescription pills that were laced with fentanyl, the government believes that this is a very,

very serious offense, Your Honor.

And I think, Your Honor, when you look at the drug trafficking, I think many people have different opinions as to whether drug trafficking is a serious crime or not.

However, based on the facts of this case, we know that this defendant was willing to go to extreme lengths to maintain this drug trafficking operation that he had.

Based on some of the evidence that was presented before

Judge Hazel as it related to the defendant and his drug

trafficking activities, and from what we know happened with

Mr. Freeland, we know that the defendant was not scared or not

afraid to rob and potentially shoot people, as was done with

Mr. Freeland, in order to maintain this drug activity.

And so, Your Honor, when you consider those things, the government — the government firmly believes that the drug trafficking activity in this case was very serious, one that involves serious substances, one that involves serious acts in order to maintain its operations. And for those reasons, Your Honor, we believe that a top of the guideline sentence is appropriate as it relates to the drug offenses.

Your Honor, when we just discussed the nature and circumstances around the murder of Anthony Freeland, I don't think there's any other description, Your Honor, but to say this is a very, very serious and heinous crime.

As you've already heard from the family members, by all

accounts, Anthony Freeland believed that the defendant was his
friend, someone that he trusted. Clearly he trusted him well
enough to be involved in this illegal operation with, but
someone that he knew and he trusted; trusted to the point where
he could be lured out to a neighborhood in Prince George's
County somewhere that he was not familiar with to meet up with
him, only, Your Honor, to be brutally murdered.

And based on the testimony that we heard at trial, and based on some of the video evidence that was introduced at trial, this murder didn't appear to be something that happened over the course of some argument between the two. The video evidence that was -- that was shown during trial showed who we believed to be the defendant exiting a vehicle, walking towards the direction of where Mr. Freeland's vehicle was, and within mere minutes, Your Honor, gunshots were fired.

And we know that based on the testimony, testimony of some of the firearms examiners who recovered evidence, based on the testimony of the medical examiner, at least one of those fired shots of Mr. Freeland was at point -- pointblank range, straight to the face.

Something that I don't think anyone would expect, you know, a person who is identified or believed to be their friend to do, but in this case, the defendant did.

And to make it worse, the defendant then shot Mr. Freeland two more times, including once in the back. And based on the

testimony that we heard from at least one of the witnesses, the defendant bragged about this. He bragged about, you know, killing Mr. Freeland, someone who was his friend, someone who we know suffered a brutal and violent death with the testimony of the medical examiner with that one gunshot wound to the Bragged about it as he laid there in the street and struggled to hang onto life. And so this murder, Your Honor, is unexplainable. 

And so this murder, Your Honor, is unexplainable. I think what makes it even worse, Your Honor, is it took place in this neighborhood. This neighborhood is a fairly upper class neighborhood where people were walking the street that night, and it was just done in such a brazen way, Your Honor. Clearly there was no concern about not only Mr. Freeland, but anyone else who was out there that night.

Your Honor, the murder of Mr. Freeland, then, is then compounded by other activities that the defendant was engaged in. And as this Court found last week, the murder of Ferdinand Fotachwi is relevant conduct that is related to the murder of Anthony Freeland. And it's always been the government's position that Mr. Fotachwi, because he was present during the time that Mr. Freeland was murdered, was then later murdered himself in the District of Columbia. A murder that was very, very similar in some way to the murder of Mr. Freeland.

Once again, by all accounts, Mr. Fotachwi was a friend of Mr. Tejan; knew him since high school.

Based on the witness testimony during the motions hearing, we know that Mr. Fotachwi was lured to meet with Mr. Tejan, and lured into the District of Columbia where he thought they were going to meet with people, Your Honor, to take part in a drug deal.

And just like Mr. Freeland, Mr. Fotachwi was executed, executed as he sat in the passenger seat of his car.

And like I said, Your Honor, two very, very similar, similar crimes. They appear to be set up very similarly. We know that the defendant was present at both scenes. The defendant fled both scenes. The defendant was involved with both of those.

And so, Your Honor, when you look at the murder of Anthony Freeland and the murder of Ferdinand Fotachwi, Your Honor, there is no other outcome that can come from sentencing in this case, but a maximum sentence of a life sentence, for the murder of these two men.

And then, Your Honor, when you look at other information about the defendant, defendant's background and history, we know that this is a defendant who has a history of being involved in other similar crimes. He was convicted here, right here in Greenbelt for being involved in a commercial Hobbs Act, a conspiracy. A Hobbs Act conspiracy that involved the use of guns by he and some of his other co-conspirators.

A Hobbs Act conspiracy, Your Honor, that he should have

been serving out his sentence on when he committed the murder of Anthony Freeland. And we know now that he was able to abscond from custody back in August of -- August of that year, of the year of Anthony Freeland's murder, and abscond and then be on the run and be out in order to commit these crimes.

Your Honor, based on that, based on the fact that he was found in a facility with the over 1,000 fentanyl pills, based on the history that the government has presented to the Court of the defendant's conduct while incarcerated, the government doesn't believe, Your Honor, that this is someone who respects the law, who respects the courts, who respects supervision.

And so, Your Honor, ultimately, this case is really about some of the other factors that 3553(a) considers, which is hopefully deterrence, Your Honor, that other people who are engaged in this type of conduct that hopefully see what happens with the defendant today and deter from it. And quite frankly, Your Honor, punishment, punishment.

The defendant deserves to be punished for these serious crimes. And as a result, Your Honor, we ask that you consider sentencing him to the top of the guidelines on the drug offenses and the maximums as it relates to the other offenses.

And I am free for any questions that the Court may have for me.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Collins.

MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Davis?

MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor. Briefly.

Your Honor, Mr. Tejan exercised his right to go to trial, and we respect the jury's verdict. We disagree, but we respect it. And we're prepared to go forward with sentencing now.

As outlined in Paragraph 6 of my sentencing memo, behind every person is a story, and Mr. Tejan's story is told in the presentence report.

I'm going to ask Your Honor to recommend the services, that I've identified in Paragraph 6 of my memo, when he's incarcerated.

I did note, and I -- we see these cases all the time, but I was a little bit surprised to see when I went to look at the Sentencing Commission's data, that 97.5 percent of the cases pled guilty in federal court, only 2.5 percent of them go to trial. And that's a seriously unbalanced number that I have a very hard time wrapping my head around.

I looked at the median and average range of sentences imposed for murder. They were 240 months, was the -- was the median; and the average, I think, was 269 months.

Mr. Collins is asking for the high end of the guidelines. The guidelines are life here, there is no high or low; the guidelines are life.

I guess the point is, that we're -- by imposing these life sentences on these individuals that exercise the right to go to

```
1
    trial in these cases, I think we're compelling people to plead
 2
    quilty literally because the odds are so stacked up against
 3
    them when they go to trial. The weight of the federal
    government behind local prosecutions when they go to federal
 4
 5
    court, it's all -- it's just overwhelming.
         I would ask Your Honor to consider imposing a term of
 6
 7
    years on Mr. Tejan.
         As I stated a few moments ago, there's a story behind
 8
 9
    everyone, and his story is told in the presentence report.
10
    not going to belabor it, but I think that a term of years would
11
    impose just punishment, severe enough punishment to account for
    the offenses that have occurred. And at the same time, put a
12
13
    light at the end of the tunnel, if he lives that long, in order
14
    to have something to look forward to and some way to possibly
15
    better himself as he serves out his sentence.
16
         I would ask Your Honor to recommend USP Canaan as an
17
    institution that he be housed at. Mr. Tejan understands that
18
    Your Honor's recommendation is not binding, but the Bureau of
19
    Prisons generally looks at the judge's recommendation.
20
         And those would be my representations at this point in
21
    time.
22
              THE COURT: Mr. Davis, I don't know if it's going to
23
    be relevant, or at least not immediately, but did you review
```

MR. DAVIS: I did not. I will point -- I reviewed

the proposed conditions of supervised release with Mr. Tejan?

24

25

```
1
    the presentence report with him. Ms. Davis is nodding her
 2
          She also reviewed it with him. She's indicating she did
    review the conditions of supervised release.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. And no issues have been raised to
 4
 5
   me.
              MR. DAVIS: No issues. Okay. Mr. Tejan is also
 6
 7
    aware of his right to allocute on his own behalf and make a
    statement to the Court. He's indicated to me that he does not
 8
 9
    wish to make a statement to the Court.
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tejan, I understand what
10
   Mr. Davis has just told me, but I need to address you directly
11
12
    and tell you that you do have an opportunity to speak, if you
13
    wish. You're not obligated to do so. But I would be happy to
14
    hear from you, if you do wish to speak.
15
         You understand all of that? I see you nodding.
16
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. But as Mr. Davis indicated, it's
17
    still your decision not to say anything?
18
19
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Thank you.
21
         (It is the policy of this Court that every quilty plea and
22
    sentencing proceeding include a bench conference concerning
23
    whether the defendant is or is not cooperating.)
24
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tejan is before the Court for
25
    sentencing on four counts of the superseding indictment. Count
```

One is conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute a controlled substance; Count Two is possession with intent to distribute a controlled substance; Count Three is interference with interstate commerce by robbery; and Count Four is murder resulting from the use, carrying, brandishing and discharging of a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence.

These offenses occurred back in October of 2018, quite some time ago, at a time when Mr. Tejan was still completing his sentence from an earlier conviction in this court for conspiracy to interfere with commerce by robbery. He had been released to a halfway house in the District of Columbia from which he absconded at some point in August, indicating that he had returned to drug dealing and his criminal activity even before finishing that 68-month sentence imposed by Judge Hazel.

That conviction had followed -- that activity had followed, not by much, a prior conviction in state court in which Mr. Tejan and others had also undertaken to rob someone who was meeting them for the purpose of purchasing drugs.

Mr. Tejan was but 20 years old at that time. He is now nearly 32.

A mere two weeks after the offenses for which he stands convicted here, both I and Judge Hazel have found that Mr. Tejan was, again, with someone involved in traveling for a drug deal when the murder of Mr. Fotachwi occurred.

Judge Hazel articulated that he was, at least in some sense, responsible, if not directly the perpetrator of that murder.

The drugs involved marijuana but also very dangerous fentanyl pills masquerading as Percocet or some other prescription medicine. And as has been indicated, Mr. Tejan had a significant quantity of those pills in his possession at the halfway house while he was still serving a sentence for the earlier Hobbs Act robbery.

I concluded that the jury's verdict was amply justified by the evidence, that this was a deliberate and intentional killing during the course of the robbery, the theft of the vehicle.

Mr. Collins articulates that the scene made it even more brazen. I think it was obviously a very dangerous location in which to be firing firearms. Of course, it's dangerous under any circumstances, but this was a residential neighborhood.

We don't know how long this had been in planning, but it was sufficiently deliberate to constitute first degree murder.

Since his adulthood, Mr. Tejan has demonstrated total disrespect for the law, taking from others what doesn't belong to him, engaging in assaultive, very dangerous conduct, sometimes alone, sometimes with others, culminating in the two-week period in October of 2018.

It -- simply, it's not possible to overstate the

seriousness of this criminal activity or the need to punish and protect the public from Mr. Tejan.

How he got there, Mr. Davis alluded to some of the information in the presentence report. Mr. Tejan had a very tragic beginning to his life, being born into a country involved in civil war; seeing his mother murdered; coming to this country at a very young age and not being welcomed into a family that was supportive in any way and having to fend for himself.

Unfortunately, where that took him was to a very dangerous place in terms of being willing to take from others and kill.

It does not appear that he was able to take advantage of any programming in order to offset any of the very difficult circumstances in his young life.

He turned not only to criminal activity, but also to illegal drugs at a young age and has never received any treatment for substance abuse.

The goals of sentencing are to try to promote respect for law, punish people for their misconduct, keep the public safe, deter both the defendant and others from further criminal conduct. I have to consider the guidelines, the history and characteristics of the defendant, the kinds of sentences available, the need for servicing, rehabilitation, what have you, sentences imposed on others, the need for restitution; a very long list of considerations.

The sentencing guidelines put Mr. Tejan in the highest category, 43, with a criminal history category of five.

There's only one higher portion of the guidelines. But everything at a level 43 suggests life, which means that it's really an artificial-type construct, life sentence, other than, of course, when the death penalty is sought is the ultimate.

And the guidelines do not particularly have gradations under a life sentence until you get almost all the way down to 30 years; that is, the guidelines are absolutely no help to a sentencing judge when you're considering whether to impose life or a term of years close to life, as is the dilemma here.

While I conclude, Mr. Tejan, that there's very little of a mitigating nature in the picture that I see when I consider all of your history and characteristics, what you've done with your life thus far, I am still persuaded that imposing an absolute sentence of life in prison is not appropriate.

You have forfeited your right to live among free society for many, many decades, but I am not persuaded that it is appropriate to foreclose the ultimate opportunity of you being released again.

Accordingly, I am sentencing you on Counts One, Two and Three to 20 years in prison concurrent, and to 50 years on Count Four, also concurrent.

I will express it in terms of months, which is 240 months on each of the first three counts, and 600 months on Count

Four.

At your age, 30 -- almost 32, this sentence may well be a life sentence. But it is not stated in those terms, and it will give you the opportunity, if appropriate, for release at a very old, old age.

I point out that we're now told what statistics show, and unlike all of the murder cases that Mr. Davis has described of the defendants who were sentenced under 2A1.1, which is the guideline for first degree murder and doesn't include all murder, the average sentence was more than 30 years, and the median sentence was close to 40.

So this is higher than those, but, again, I am not imposing a true life sentence, although I expect this may well be one.

If you are released, you will be on supervised release for the following terms:

Four years on Counts One and Two, three years on Count Three, and five years on Count Four; all concurrent.

I will impose the mandatory and standard conditions of supervision that we believe are necessary in any case for effective supervision.

And in addition, I will direct that you participate in a mental health treatment program, as well as a substance abuse treatment program, including substance abuse testing; it may not be appropriate, but vocational services, if it is

appropriate.

I am not imposing a fine. I do impose the special assessment of \$400 total, that's \$100 per count. This money goes into a fund to help people who are victims of crime, and for that reason have financial problems that they didn't otherwise have.

In addition, I will impose a restitution judgment in the amount of \$350 in favor of Mr. Freeland's mother, as we have fully discussed before. That is what her request is net of the amount paid by the state fund, as I understand it.

I'm going to prepare and enter the judgment in writing. I will recommend assignment to Canaan, but it's up to the Bureau of Prisons to decide where someone should serve a sentence. I will also suggest mental health and drug abuse treatment while incarcerated.

What have I neglected to address?

MR. COLLINS: Your Honor, would the Court suggest that the restitution be made payable while the defendant is incarcerated?

THE COURT: Absolutely. I am not going to suspend the payments through the Bureau of Prisons.

MR. COLLINS: And, your Honor, I was also going to suggest that there was \$5,971 that was seized as it relates to this case. The money could also be ordered from that, Your Honor. And that's currently in FBI possession.

```
THE COURT:
                          So are they forfeiting it?
 1
              MR. COLLINS: Your Honor, we would just ask that it
 2
 3
    be applied to restitution directly. There was not a forfeiture
    order sought, Your Honor. But we'll address it, Your Honor,
 4
 5
    with the forfeiture people at the United States Attorney's
    Office and with the FBI.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. The indictment had a forfeiture
    provision in it for the firearm and ammunition and for drug
 8
 9
    forfeiture, but it didn't have anything -- any amount. And I
10
    understand you did not seek any forfeiture at trial? So there
11
    was no verdict on it.
12
              MR. COLLINS: No, Your Honor, that's correct.
              THE COURT: Mr. Davis, you have any comment?
13
14
              MR. DAVIS: I have no objection to the restitution
15
    being satisfied via the money that was seized.
16
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. What about the special assessment?
              MR. DAVIS: I have no objection to the -- that that
17
    also be paid off through the money that was seized.
18
19
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. All right. Mr. Collins, you have
20
    to do whatever is necessary in order to reflect that agreement.
21
    I don't have any say over that money. It's not before me.
22
         But Mr. Davis has acknowledged, on Mr. Tejan's behalf,
23
    that he is willing to have his monetary responsibility
24
    satisfied out of those funds. Okay?
25
              MR. COLLINS:
                            Okay. We'll take care of it, Your
```

```
1
    Honor.
            Thank you.
              THE COURT: Anything else before I advise Mr. Tejan
 2
    of his right to appeal?
 3
              MR. DAVIS:
                         Nothing further, Your Honor.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: All right. I believe the superseding
    indictment, obviously, supersedes the original, and so the
 6
 7
    original indictment is dismissed.
         Mr. Tejan, you have the right to appeal. An appeal must
 8
 9
   be noted in writing within two weeks of when the judgment of
10
    the Court is entered on the record, which I expect will be
11
    today, but it might not be.
         Mr. Davis, it's my understanding that through filings, I
12
    think, before, that Mr. Tejan does intend to appeal?
13
14
              MR. DAVIS: That's correct, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: Do you wish me to direct the clerk to
16
    enter that notice, or not?
              MR. DAVIS: We can file that notice, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: You can file the notice?
18
              MR. DAVIS: We will.
19
20
              THE COURT: All right. Then, Mr. Tejan, your
21
    attorneys will file the notice of appeal.
22
         You are entitled to the appointment of counsel for your
23
    appeal, if you are not able to afford to hire counsel, and I
24
    believe they can also advise you as to how to make that request
25
    of the Fourth Circuit.
```

```
I will prepare and enter this judgment in writing, and
 1
    copies will go out, of course, electronically.
 2
 3
         Ms. Ionetz, anything you need?
         Mr. Encarnacion, we set on adjusting the presentence
 4
 5
    report?
              PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor, I'll make the
 6
 7
    changes.
         Just for clarification, what was the amount of
 8
 9
    restitution?
              THE COURT: The net is $350.
10
11
              PROBATION OFFICER: 350, okay.
              MS. HAYES: Your Honor, with apologies, and I may
12
13
    have missed it. I know Your Honor ordered all mandatory and
14
    standard conditions of supervised release that are set forth in
15
    the PSR, which the defendant has reviewed. I apologize if I
16
    missed it, but are you also imposing the additional recommended
17
    conditions of supervised release?
              THE COURT: Yes, substance abuse, mental health,
18
19
    vocational, I did those, yes. I believe I did.
20
              MS. HAYES: Wonderful. Thank you, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: All right. If there's nothing further,
22
    then I thank counsel for their presentations, and everyone for
23
    attending and respecting the process of the court.
24
         But that completes this proceeding.
25
              DEPUTY CLERK: All Rise. This Honorable Court now
```

```
1
    stands adjourned.
 2
          (Proceedings were concluded at 11:13 a.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
2	
3	
4	I, Paula J. Leeper, Federal Official Court Reporter, in
5	and for the United States District Court for the District of
6	Maryland, do hereby certify, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 753, that
7	the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
8	stenographically-reported proceedings held in the
9	above-entitled matter and the transcript page format is in
10	conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of
11	the United States.
12	Dated this 8th day of April, 2024.
13	bacca chilo och ady of hprii, 2021.
14	
15	/S/ Paula J. Leeper
16	Paula J. Leeper
17	Federal Official Reporter
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	